RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAY 0 7 2007

Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ

PTO/SB/33 (07-05).
Approved for use through xx/xx/200x, OMB 0651-00xx
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional)		
		67,108-015; Bi 29-18-2-5		
CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE	Application Number		Filed	
I hereby certify that this Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review and Notice of Appeal are being facsimile transmitted to (571) 273-8300.	10/616,553		07/10/2003	
on 1 May 7, 2007	First Named Inventor			
Signature husan te hall	Bi, Qi			
Thomas M. Balanda	Art Unit	I	Examiner	
Typed or printed Theresa M. Palmateer	2683		Cumming, William D.	
Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.				
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.				
	•			
The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.				
am the				
applicant/inventor.		107	<i>)</i> '	
assignee of record of the entire interest.	Signature			
See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	Dav	David J. Gaskey Typed or printed name		
attorney or agent of record. 37,139	(248) 988-8360			
Registration number	Telephone number			
attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.	May	7, 2007		
Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34			Date	
NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.				
*Total of forms are submitted.		·		

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER MAY 0 7 2007

67,108-015 Bi 29-18-2-5

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:

Bi, et al.

Serial Number:

10/616,553

Filed:

07/10/2003

Group Art Unit:

2683

Examiner:

Cumming, William D.

Title:

METHOD OF SUPPORTING MULTIPLE SERVICE

LEVELS IN A WIRELESS DATA NETWORK

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant respectfully submits this Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review because there is no prima facie case of obviousness. The Examiner's proposed modification of the Amin reference in view of the Beeson, Jr., et al. reference does not establish a prima facie case of obviousness. Where a proposed modification to a primary reference goes directly contrary to the teachings of that reference (see, e.g., MPEP 2143.01(V), (VI) and 2144.05(III)) or provides no benefit, the combination cannot be made and there is no prima facie case of obviousness. In this instance, the proposed combination of Amin and Beeson, Jr., et al. cannot be made.

As taught in column 2, line 30, the service control point in the *Amin* reference permanently stores the profile of wireless communication devices. Column 3 of the *Amin* reference teaches, "each of SCP 290, 490, 590, is adapted to permanently store profiles for respectively different types of wireless communication devices." (Lines 14-17)

Z1005/00

67,108-015 Bi 29-18-2-5

The Examiner proposes to add a temporary user identification from *Beeson, Jr., et al.* to the arrangement in the *Amin* reference. The proposed combination cannot be made because it goes directly contrary to the teachings of *Amin*. If the *Beeson, Jr., et al.* temporary user identification were substituted for *Amin's* permanently stored profile, that would render the *Amin* reference incapable of performing its intended function and goes directly contrary to the teachings of the *Amin* reference. Substituting in a temporary user identification code would defeat the intended operation of the service control point (SCP) of the *Amin* reference.

Additionally, it is not possible to add a temporary user identification code to the *Amin* reference on top of or in addition to the permanently stored profile. There would not be any benefit to having a temporary user identification code because a permanent one has already been stored. In other words, adding the *Beeson*, *Jr.*, *et al.* temporary identification code to the already permanent stored profiles of the *Amin* reference would be redundant, at best. Without any benefit to making the combination, the combination cannot be made.

Regardless of how the Examiner contends to combine the temporary user identification from the *Beeson, Jr., et al.* reference with the *Amin* reference, the combination cannot be made. There is no *prima facie* case of obviousness.

Respectfully submitted,

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS

By.

David J. Gaskey Reg. No. 37,139 400 W. Maple Rd., Ste. 350 Birmingham, MI 48009

(248) 988-8360

67,108-015 Bi 29-18-2-5

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE

I hereby certify that this Pre Appeal Brief Request for Review relative to Application Serial No. 10/616,553, is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office (Fax No. (571) 277-8300) on May _______, 2007.

Theresa M. Palmateer

N:\Clients\LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES\IP00015\PATENT\Pro-Appeal Brief 5-07.doc